

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

SCOTT W. HUMPHREYS,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUKE BURGHER,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:18-cv-05736

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration. Dkt. 107. The Court has considered the underlying order (Dkt. 105) and Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 104), which dismissed Plaintiff's claims, Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and Mandate of Authority (Dkt. 107) and Notice of Scrivener [sp] Error, and Plaintiff's Supplement to Motion for Reconsideration (Dkt. 108).

Western District of Washington Local Civil Rule 7(h)(1) provides: "[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a

1 showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which  
2 could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” Further, Local  
3 Rule 7(h)(2) requires that a motion for reconsideration be brought within 14 days of the order to  
4 which it relates.

5 Plaintiff does not meet either of these standards. The underlying order was entered on  
6 December 30, 2020 and Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration was not filed until March 9, 2020,  
7 well after the 14 days required by Rule 7(h)(2). In addition, Plaintiff does not demonstrate  
8 manifest error or new facts or legal authority that justify reconsideration.

9 Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration and Mandate of Authority (Dkt. 107) **IS**  
10 **DENIED.**

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and  
13 to the last known address of the party appearing *pro se*.

14 Dated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021.

15 

16 ROBERT J. BRYAN  
17 United States District Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24